

# Ratio of PICU Versus Ward Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Events Is Increasing\*

Robert A. Berg, MD, FCCM<sup>1</sup>; Robert M. Sutton, MD, FCCM<sup>1</sup>; Richard Holubkov, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Carol E. Nicholson, MD<sup>3</sup>; J. Michael Dean, MD, FCCM<sup>2</sup>; Rick Harrison, MD, FCCM<sup>4</sup>; Sabrina Heidemann, MD<sup>5</sup>; Kathleen Meert, MD<sup>5</sup>; Christopher Newth, MD, ChB<sup>6</sup>; Frank Moler, MD<sup>7</sup>; Murray Pollack, MD, FCCM<sup>8</sup>; Heidi Dalton, MD, FCCM<sup>8</sup>; Allan Doctor, MD, FCCM<sup>9</sup>; David Wessel, MD<sup>10</sup>; John Berger, MD<sup>10</sup>; Thomas Shanley, MD<sup>7</sup>; Joseph Carcillo, MD, FCCM<sup>11</sup>; Vinay M. Nadkarni, MD, FCCM<sup>1</sup>; for the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Collaborative Pediatric Critical Care Research Network and for the American Heart Association's Get With the Guidelines-Resuscitation (formerly the National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation) Investigators

---

## \*See also p. 2438.

<sup>1</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and The University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT.

<sup>3</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD.

<sup>4</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA.

<sup>5</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Michigan, Detroit, MI.

<sup>6</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA.

<sup>7</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, University of Michigan and Mott Children's Hospital, Ann Arbor, MI.

<sup>8</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, AZ.

<sup>9</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO.

<sup>10</sup>Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC.

<sup>11</sup>Department of Critical Care Medicine, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC, Pittsburgh, PA.

Get With The Guidelines-Resuscitation Investigators: Besides the authors Robert A. Berg, MD, Heidi Dalton, MD, Frank Moler, MD, and Vinay Nadkarni, MD, members of the Get With The Guidelines-Resuscitation Clinical Work Group and Pediatrics Task Force include: Paul S. Chan, MD, MSc, Mid America Heart Institute and the University of Missouri; Mary Mancini, RN, PhD, University of Texas at Arlington; Emilie Allen, MSN, RN, Parkland Health and Hospital System; Robert Clark, MD, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center; Michael W. Donnino, MD, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; Brian Eigel, PhD and Lana Gent, PhD, American Heart Association; Robert T. Faillace, MD, St. Joseph's Regional Center; Elizabeth A. Hunt, MD, MPH, PhD, Johns Hopkins Medicine Simulation Center; Lynda Knight, Copyright © 2013 by the Society of Critical Care Medicine and Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31828cf0c0

RN, Lucile Packard Children's Hospital at Stanford; Kenneth LaBresh, RTI International; Peter C. Laussen, MBBS, Children's Hospital Boston; Marilyn Morris, MD, Children's Hospital of New York; Graham Nichol, MD, MPH, University of Washington-Harborview Medical Center; Joseph P. Ornato, MD and Mary Ann Peberdy, MD, Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center; Chris Parshuram, MD, The Hospital for Sick Children; Comilla Sasson, MD, MS, University of Colorado; Mindy Smyth, MSN, RN; and Arno L. Zaritsky, MD, Children's Hospital of the King's Daughters.

This work was performed at all of the authors' institutions and all of the Get With The Guidelines-Resuscitation hospitals.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's website (<http://journals.lww.com/ccmjournal>).

Supported, in part, by the following cooperative agreements from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), National Institutes of Health (NIH), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS): U10-HD050012, U10-HD050096, U10-HD063108, U10-HD049983, U10-HD049981, U10-HD063114, and U10-HD063106.

Drs. Berg, Holubkov, Nicholson, Dean, Harrison, Meert, Newth, Moler, Pollack, Dalton, Doctor, Wessel, Berger, and Carcillo received funding from the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Dr. Sutton received grant support from NIH NICHD (K3 Career Development Award). Dr. Sabrina Heidemann received grant support from the Collaborative Pediatric Critical Care Research Network and funding from NIH. Dr. Shanley received grant support from NIH, served as a board member for the Society of Pediatric Research (Secretary/Treasurer), received textbook royalties from Springer, and received support for travel from the University of Cincinnati and Case Western Reserve (external advisory boards). Dr. Nadkarni disclosed that he does not have any potential conflicts of interest.

For information regarding this article, E-mail: [bergra@email.chop.edu](mailto:bergra@email.chop.edu)

---

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the relative frequency of pediatric in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation events occurring in ICUs compared to general wards. We hypothesized that the proportion of pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation provided in ICUs versus general wards has

increased over the past decade, and this shift is associated with improved resuscitation outcomes.

**Design:** Prospective and observational study.

**Setting:** Total of 315 hospitals in the American Heart Association's Get With The Guidelines-Resuscitation database.

**Patients:** Total of 5,870 pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation events between January 1, 2000 and September 14, 2010. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation events were defined as external chest compressions longer than 1 minute.

**Interventions:** None.

**Measurements and Main Results:** The primary outcome was proportion of total ICU versus general ward cardiopulmonary resuscitation events over time evaluated by chi-square test for trend. Secondary outcome included return of spontaneous circulation following the cardiopulmonary resuscitation event. Among 5,870 pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation events, 5,477 (93.3%) occurred in ICUs compared to 393 (6.7%) in inpatient wards. Over time, significantly more of these cardiopulmonary resuscitation events occurred in the ICU compared to the wards (test for trend:  $p < 0.01$ ), with a prominent shift noted between 2003 and 2004 (2000–2003: 87–91% vs 2004–2010: 94–96%). In a multivariable model controlling for within center variability and other potential confounders, return of spontaneous circulation increased in 2004–2010 compared with 2000–2003 (relative risk, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.03–1.13).

**Conclusions:** In-hospital pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation is much more commonly provided in ICUs than in wards, and the proportion has increased significantly over the past decade, with concomitant increases in return of spontaneous circulation. (*Crit Care Med* 2013; 41:2292–2297)

**Key Words:** cardiac arrest; cardiopulmonary resuscitation; children; intensive care; pediatrics

More than 4,000 children in the United States receive in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) each year, mostly in PICUs (1–5). Because many of these events are a result of progressive respiratory failure and circulatory shock, early warning systems and rapid response teams have been developed for earlier recognition, treatment, and transfer to PICUs (4–10). These changes were implemented in order to decrease the proportion of cardiac arrests occurring in less intensely monitored settings and to improve outcomes by preventing cardiac arrests and providing higher quality CPR in the resource-intensive specialized environment of the PICU.

Since 2007, two single-center pediatric studies demonstrated that rapid response teams decreased the frequency of cardiac arrests on inpatient wards and improved survival (8, 9). Not surprisingly, the Institute for Healthcare Improvement and the Child Healthcare Corporation of America now consider pediatric CPR events on general wards as sentinel events to be avoided in safe and high-quality hospital environments (10, 11). However, implementation of best practices on a large scale is difficult (10).

The aim of this study is to evaluate the relative frequency of pediatric in-hospital CPR events occurring in ICUs compared to general wards and to determine whether the proportion of

pediatric in-hospital CPR events occurring in the ICU compared to general wards has increased over the last decade. We hypothesized that the proportion of CPR provided in ICUs versus wards increased. We further hypothesized that resuscitation outcomes would improve if the proportion of ICU-to-ward CPR events increased. We addressed these hypotheses through analysis of in-hospital pediatric CPR events reported to the American Heart Association's large multicenter Get With The Guidelines-Resuscitation (GWTG-R) registry database from 2000 to 2010.

## METHODS

GWTG-R (formerly known as the National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation or NRCPR) is an American Heart Association sponsored prospective multisite registry of patients undergoing in-hospital resuscitation. Hospitals voluntarily participate in the database for the primary purpose of quality improvement and as such are not required to obtain institutional review board (IRB) approval or informed consent from patients or families. The present study was exempted from IRB oversight at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia.

### Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Three hundred and fifteen hospitals provided data regarding pediatric in-hospital CPR to this registry from January 2000 to September 2010. All patients under 18 years with a CPR event requiring chest compressions for longer than 1 minute at a participating hospital were eligible for inclusion (5). Events with shorter duration of chest compressions were excluded to avoid brief exposures to chest compressions that may not have been necessary. According to GWTG-R operational definitions, a CPR event is any event characterized by either pulselessness or critically compromised perfusion treated with external chest compressions, when a unit-wide or hospital-wide emergency response was activated. Events commencing out of hospital and events in any location besides a ward or ICU were excluded (e.g., delivery suite, newborn ICU, postanesthesia care unit, emergency department, and procedural and surgical suites).

### Study Outcome Measures

The primary study outcome was proportion of total ICU versus general ward CPR events. Secondary outcomes included resuscitation outcomes: return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) for at least 20 minutes after the CPR event, 24-hour survival from the event, survival to hospital discharge, and survival to hospital discharge with a favorable neurological outcome. As per the international guidelines (12), ROSC and 24-hour survival were reported for each CPR event. Survival to discharge and favorable neurological outcomes among survivors were reported for the index (or first) CPR event for each patient because a patient can only survive to discharge once per hospitalization (12).

Neurological outcomes were assessed using previously validated pediatric cerebral performance category (PCPC) scores (12–14). A PCPC score of 1 describes children with normal age-appropriate neurodevelopmental functioning; 2 for mild cerebral disability; 3 for moderate disability; 4 for severe disability; 5

for coma/vegetative state; and 6 for brain death. Favorable neurological outcome was defined as a PCPC score of 1–3 at discharge or no increase compared to admission PCPC status (14).

### Study Variables

The main independent variable was the calendar year in initial analyses. In further analyses, the independent variable was 2000–2003 period versus 2004–2010. As noted in **Supplemental Table 1** (Supplemental Digital Content 1, <http://links.lww.com/CCM/A664>), other factors analyzed were: 1) patient factors, including demographics, preexisting conditions, and illness categories; 2) arrest characteristics, including interventions in place at time of arrest, first documented rhythm, immediate cause of arrest, time of arrest, duration of CPR, witnessed/monitored status, and pharmacologic interventions; and 3) resuscitation outcomes. In addition, several hospital variables potentially related to outcome were analyzed, including number of pediatric ward beds (> 30 vs ≤ 30), number of PICU beds (> 20 vs ≤ 20), approved pediatric residency program, pediatric cardiac surgery program, and level 1 trauma center (15).

### Statistical Analysis

Significance of association of binary factors with year of arrest was assessed by the Mantel-Haenszel test for trend for ordered categorical factors. Evidence of association of outcome factors with arrest location (ICU vs ward) or ICU time period (2000–2003 vs 2004–2010) was assessed by Pearson chi-squared test for binary and unordered categorical factors and by the Mantel-Haenszel test for trend for ordered categorical factors.

Significance of association of continuous factors with arrest location or ICU time period was assessed by the Wilcoxon test.


Relative risk (RR) of binary outcomes for 2000–2003 versus 2004–2010 admissions was assessed using modified Poisson regression, which produces rate ratios together with robust variance estimates (16). Models were fit using generalized estimating equations (GEEs) to account for within-institution clustering of patients; these GEE models used an exchangeable correlation matrix. In reported multivariable models, all categorical pre-arrest status variables and hospital-level variables displaying a trend ( $p < 0.15$ ) toward unadjusted association with the modeled outcome were included as covariates. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS Version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All reported tests for statistical significance are two-tailed.

### Data Integrity


Data integrity was maintained using a detailed periodic reabstraction process. GWTG-R participating hospitals submitted randomly selected records each quarter, and a random sampling of event records and corresponding GWTG-R data sheets were reabstracted and reviewed for errors by GWTG-R oversight committee. Mean (SD) error rates for all data were 2.5% (+2.7%). Web-based remediation was used to support data integrity continuously for enrolling sites. Enrollment of new hospitals as contributors to the database requires certification by testing accuracy of data collection before allowing data submission centrally.

## RESULTS

Between January 1, 2000 and September 14, 2010, 7,877 CPR events in pediatric subjects younger than 18 years at 315 hospitals were reported to the GWTG-R registry, excluding CPR events in the neonatal ICU, delivery room, and newborn nursery. After 706 CPR events in emergency departments, 382 CPR events in operating room complexes, 459 CPR events in other non-ICU/non-ward settings, and 460 CPR events from hospitals with less than 3 years of data were excluded, we evaluated 5,870 CPR events at 98 hospitals that occurred in an ICU or a general ward at a hospital contributing at least 3 years of data in which an eligible event occurred (**Fig. 1**). Among these 98 hospitals, 59 provided 2,829 events spread over both periods, 4 provided 125 events only for the 2000–2003 interval, and 35 provided 1,531 events



**Figure 1.** Utstein consort diagram. CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation, ED = emergency department, OR = operating room.



**Figure 2.** Proportion of in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) events occurring in an ICU versus general ward. \*Over time, significantly more events occurred in the ICU compared to the general wards (test for trend:  $p < 0.01$ ). A prominent shift in location was noted between 2003 and 2004 (2000–2003: 87–91% vs 2004–2010: 94–96%).

only for the 2004–2010 interval. Of these 5,870 CPR events, 5,477 (93.3%) occurred in ICUs compared to 393 (6.7%) in general wards. Over time, a significantly higher proportion of these CPR events occurred in the ICU compared to general wards (test for trend:  $p < 0.01$ ), with a prominent shift noted between 2003 and 2004 (2000–2003: 87–91% vs 2004–2010: 94–96%) (Fig. 2).

Supplemental Table 1 (Supplemental Digital Content 1, <http://links.lww.com/CCM/A664>) displays baseline subject characteristics with comparisons between the ICU CPR events and general ward CPR events, and between 2000–2003 and 2004–2010 time periods as defined in Figure 2. **Table 1** shows unadjusted survival outcomes. By univariate analysis, ROSC, 24-hour survival, and favorable neurological outcome among survivors were all significantly higher for 2004–2010 versus 2000–2003. Using a multivariable model controlling for between center variability and other potential prearrest confounders, the rate of ROSC following all CPR events (RR, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.03–1.13) was higher in 2004–2010 compared to 2000–2003, and the rate of favorable neurological outcomes among survivors was also higher (RR, 1.035; 95% CI, 1.0005–1.07). For the other outcomes considered, estimated adjusted risk ratios for 2004–2010 versus 2000–2003 were not significantly different from unity.

## DISCUSSION

For these 5,870 pediatric in-hospital CPR events from 2000 to 2010 in the GWTG-R registry, 93% of the CPR events occurred in ICUs versus only 7% in general pediatric wards. As expected, the ratio of ICU-to-ward CPR increased substantially over this decade with 9–13% occurring in wards from 2000 to 2003 and 4–6% occurring in wards from 2004 to 2010. Importantly, successful initial resuscitation for these pediatric in-hospital CPR events (ROSC) increased concomitantly with the increase in ratio of ICU-to-ward CPR events from 2004 to 2010, as did favorable neurological outcomes among survivors.

Although previous studies had shown that in-hospital pediatric CPR is less commonly performed in ward settings compared with ICU settings, those investigations demonstrated that 14% to 18% of the combined ward and ICU CPR events were performed in ward settings (4–6). In contrast, these GWTG-R hospitals successfully decreased pediatric ward CPR to less than 6% of the combined CPR events in pediatric wards and ICUs since 2003. Interestingly, adult GWTG-R data from 2000 to 2008 reveal that greater than 40% of adult CPR was provided in wards than ICUs (17).

What is the importance of this increased ratio of ICU-to-ward pediatric CPR? The ultimate goals of shifting pediatric patients to a critical care setting before the need for CPR are: 1) to prevent CPR by critical care monitoring and prompt therapeutic interventions and 2) to increase the likelihood of survival following CPR when it is needed. Although the GWTG-R database provides substantial information about CPR events, it is not designed to address whether CPR events were avoided. For example, the database does not include hospital admission or census information, or information about patient severity of illness. Nevertheless, the resuscitation outcome data in this study revealed higher rates of ROSC in 2004–2010 versus 2000–2003, concomitant with the increase in the ratio of ICU-to-ward CPR events. In addition, there was a trend toward higher rates of favorable neurological outcomes among survivors in 2004–2010 compared with 2000–2003. These observational data cannot address the issue of whether this improvement in successful resuscitations was due to the relative shift of these events from the ward-to-ICU versus secular changes in the effectiveness of resuscitation practices or some other factors. Compared with ward environments, ICU environments are more resource intense with a greater staff-to-patient ratio, and more of the ICU personnel presumably have recent CPR training, experience, and expertise. Therefore, one plausible explanation for the improvement in successful resuscitations is the shift to more CPR events in the ICU.

Table 1 shows that ROSC and survival to discharge occurred more commonly following ward CPR events compared with ICU CPR events. Of course, patients were on the ward rather than the ICU because their physicians determined that they were less sick and thereby did not need ICU care. Not surprisingly, Supplemental Table 1 (Supplemental Digital Content 1, <http://links.lww.com/CCM/A664>) demonstrates that the ward CPR cohort was much less likely to have important prearrest problems, including hypotension, respiratory failure, major trauma, and congestive heart failure. Furthermore, the ICU cohort was much more likely to receive prearrest intensive care therapies,

**TABLE 1. Unadjusted Survival Outcomes**

	Total	ICU		p	Ward		p
		2000–2003	2004–2010		2000–2003	2004–2010	
Age category, n (%)							
Return of spontaneous circulation <sup>a</sup>	4,234/5,870 (72)	714/1,059 (67)	3,243/4,418 (73)	< 0.01	86/135 (64)	191/258 (74)	0.033
24-hr survival <sup>a</sup>	3,260/5,789 (56)	556/1,042 (53)	2,490/4,373 (57)	0.036	58/123 (47)	156/251 (62)	< 0.01
Survival to hospital discharge <sup>b</sup>	1,735/4,435 (39)	291/814 (36)	1,266/3,241 (39)	0.082	57/132 (43)	121/248 (49)	0.30
Survival with favorable neurologic outcome <sup>b</sup>	1,193/1,259 (95)	209/225 (93)	860/899 (96)	0.085	35/40 (88)	89/95 (94)	0.30

<sup>a</sup>All cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) events.

<sup>b</sup>Index CPR events only.

such as vasoactive infusions and assisted ventilation. Perhaps the patients with ward CPR events would have had an even higher rate of ROSC and survival to discharge if their CPR events were in the resource-intense ICU environment. Importantly, the overall rate of ROSC following all CPR events was higher in the 2004–2010 period when the relative rate of ICU CPR events was higher.

Although two before-and-after single-center studies showed improvements in pediatric overall survival after Medical Emergency Team (AKA Rapid Response Team) implementation, a larger multicenter pediatric observational study was unable to demonstrate similar improvements in survival after pediatric Medical Emergency Team implementation (10). Although it is tempting to attribute the shift in proportion of ICU CPR events and the improved resuscitation outcomes to increasing implementation of early warning systems and

**TABLE 2. Estimated Relative Risks by Modified Poisson Regression for Survival, 2004–2010 Versus 2000–2003**

	Estimated Relative Risk <sup>a</sup>
	2004–2010 vs 2000–2003 (95% CI)
Return of spontaneous circulation <sup>b</sup>	1.08 (1.03–1.13)
24-hr survival <sup>b</sup>	1.05 (0.99–1.12)
Survival to hospital discharge <sup>c</sup>	1.02 (0.91–1.14)
Favorable neurologic outcome among survivors <sup>c</sup>	1.035 (1.005–1.07)

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for within-center variability, hospital characteristics and baseline factors associated with outcome. The first three models were controlled for hospital characteristics and prearrest factors showing at least a trend ( $p < 0.15$ ) toward association on univariate analysis. Due to a small number of patients discharged alive with unfavorable outcomes among survivors, the fourth model was adjusted only for hospital characteristics and prearrest factors showing a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) association with outcome.

<sup>b</sup>All CPR events.

<sup>c</sup>Index CPR events only.

Medical Emergency Teams, the limited data in the GWTG-R database and the study design preclude such attributions.

These GWTG-R data indicating that pediatric in-hospital CPR events are much more common in ICUs compared with wards have important training implications. The present American Heart Association model trains all in-hospital pediatric providers to the same standard (e.g., Basic Life Support and Pediatric Advanced Life Support guidelines and courses). Because in-hospital pediatric CPR events occur more commonly in highly monitored ICUs than wards, perhaps the focus of pediatric advanced life support training should be concentrated on the PICU staff and train these ICU providers to use available intensive monitoring (e.g., invasive arterial catheters and capnometry) to guide resuscitation quality when a CPR event occurs. Conversely, as general ward CPR events are becoming less common, perhaps the training of ward providers should focus on recognizing changes in the physiologic status of patients, preventing progression to cardiac arrest, and transitioning deteriorating patients to higher levels of care before cardiac arrest occurs. When the rare CPR event occurs in a pediatric ward, those providers should be trained to provide excellent basic life support skills until the highly trained expert ICU teams arrive for higher level advanced life support. Such a nuanced approach could lead to a more efficient and more effective life support training model by matching education and training to the predominant needs of the care providers in each setting. Because CPR events are much more common in the ICU compared with pediatric wards, the PICU setting is especially fertile and relevant for in-hospital pediatric CPR investigations. In addition, the highly monitored ICU environment provides an opportunity to investigate the effect of attaining hemodynamic goals, such as arterial blood pressures and end-tidal carbon dioxide concentrations, on outcomes following CPR. Animal data have established that attaining thresholds of myocardial oxygen delivery during CPR and the highly related thresholds of coronary perfusion pressure are the primary determinants of outcomes following CPR (18).

Similarly, animal data have shown that end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration is useful as another surrogate of adequate flow during CPR (18). In addition, adult out-of-hospital CPR

data have demonstrated that CPR is futile when the end-tidal carbon dioxide concentrations remain < 10 mmHg during CPR (18). The Eunice Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Collaborative Pediatric Critical Care Research Network (CPCCRN) investigators embarked on this study of ICU-to-ward CPR event prevalence as preliminary data to focus on these important research issues. Of particular relevance for future studies of the effect of hemodynamic variables on outcomes from PICU CPR, these data in Supplemental Table 1 (Supplemental Digital Content 1, <http://links.lww.com/CCM/A664>) show that patients with ICU CPR events had arterial catheters in place prior to 39% of the CPR events and mechanical ventilation prior to 76% of the CPR events, suggesting that investigations to determine the value of arterial blood pressure measurements and capnometry during CPR are feasible goals.

## LIMITATIONS

Limitations of our study are similar to those seen in all studies using large multicenter databases. Analysis of the data may be limited by data integrity and validation issues at the multiple sites submitting data to the registry. The rigorous abstractor training and certification process, uniform data collection, consistent definitions, reabstraction data validation processes, and large sample size, unique to GWTG-R, are intended to minimize these sources of study bias. Participation in GWTG-R is voluntary; nearly 15% of the hospitals in the United States are represented in this database. It is possible that outcomes may be different at nonparticipating institutions, and this report does not reflect outcomes at those institutions. For example, hospitals that participate in GWTG-R may also have processes in place to improve CPR outcomes. The GWTG-R hospital participation changed over time. Nevertheless, we attempted to address related potentially confounding factors by adjusting our analyses for hospital-level characteristics, as well as patient factors and arrest characteristics. Because these GWTG-R data do not include overall hospital ward and/or ICU census data, we could not address whether CPR rates are increasing or decreasing overall or in the ICU or ward settings. Instead we could only show that the ratio of ICU-to-ward CPR events is increasing. More hospital-level details would increase the versatility of the GWTG-R database; however, the logistics involved in requiring these hospitals to gather more data for a volunteer Continuous Quality Improvement program is problematic.

In conclusion, 93% of PICU and ward CPR events from 2000 to 2010 in GWTG-R hospitals occurred in ICUs. The ratio of ICU-to-ward CPR increased substantially over this decade, with 9% to 13% occurring in wards from 2000 to 2003 and 4% to 6% occurring in wards from 2004 to 2010. The rates of successful initial resuscitation from these pediatric ICU and ward CPR events (i.e., ROSC) increased in 2004–2010 compared with 2000–2003, concomitant with the change in ratio of ICU-to-ward CPR event rate.

## REFERENCES

- Slonim AD, Patel KM, Ruttimann UE, et al: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation in pediatric intensive care units. *Crit Care Med* 1997; 25:1951–1955
- Peddy SB, Hazinski MF, Laussen PC, et al: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation: Special considerations for infants and children with cardiac disease. *Cardiol Young* 2007; 17 Suppl 2:116–126
- Randolph AG, Gonzales CA, Cortellini L, et al: Growth of pediatric intensive care units in the United States from 1995 to 2001. *J Pediatr* 2004; 144:792–798
- Nadkarni VM, Larkin GL, Peberdy MA, et al: National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Investigators: First documented rhythm and clinical outcome from in-hospital cardiac arrest among children and adults. *JAMA* 2006; 295:50–57
- Meert KL, Donaldson A, Nadkarni V, et al: Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network: Multicenter cohort study of in-hospital pediatric cardiac arrest. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2009; 10: 544–553
- Donoghue A, Berg RA, Hazinski MF, et al: American Heart Association National Registry of CPR Investigators: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation for bradycardia with poor perfusion versus pulseless cardiac arrest. *Pediatrics* 2009; 124:1541–1548
- Brilli RJ, Gibson R, Luria JW, et al: Implementation of a medical emergency team in a large pediatric teaching hospital prevents respiratory and cardiopulmonary arrests outside the intensive care unit. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2007; 8:236–246; quiz 247
- Sharek PJ, Parast LM, Leong K, et al: Effect of a rapid response team on hospital-wide mortality and code rates outside the ICU in a Children's Hospital. *JAMA* 2007; 298:2267–2274
- Tibballs J, Kinney S: Reduction of hospital mortality and of preventable cardiac arrest and death on introduction of a pediatric medical emergency team. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2009; 10:306–312
- Hayes LW, Dobyns EL, DiGiovine B, et al: A multicenter collaborative approach to reducing pediatric codes outside the ICU. *Pediatrics* 2012; 129:e785–e791
- Institute for Healthcare Improvement: IHI Offerings. Available at: <http://www.ihl.org/IHI/Programs/Campaign/RapidResponseTeams.htm>. Accessed July 14, 2012
- Jacobs I, Nadkarni V, Bahr J, et al: International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation; American Heart Association; European Resuscitation Council; Australian Resuscitation Council; New Zealand Resuscitation Council; Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada; InterAmerican Heart Foundation; Resuscitation Councils of Southern Africa; ILCOR Task Force on Cardiac Arrest and Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Outcomes: Cardiac arrest and cardiopulmonary resuscitation outcome reports: Update and simplification of the Utstein templates for resuscitation registries: A statement for healthcare professionals from a task force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (American Heart Association, European Resuscitation Council, Australian Resuscitation Council, New Zealand Resuscitation Council, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, InterAmerican Heart Foundation, Resuscitation Councils of Southern Africa). *Circulation* 2004; 110:3385–3397
- Fiser DH, Long N, Roberson PK, et al: Relationship of pediatric overall performance category and pediatric cerebral performance category scores at pediatric intensive care unit discharge with outcome measures collected at hospital discharge and 1- and 6-month follow-up assessments. *Crit Care Med* 2000; 28:2616–2620
- Samson RA, Nadkarni VM, Meaney PA, et al: American Heart Association National Registry of CPR Investigators: Outcomes of in-hospital ventricular fibrillation in children. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354:2328–2339
- Donoghue AJ, Nadkarni VM, Elliott M, et al: American Heart Association National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Investigators: Effect of hospital characteristics on outcomes from pediatric cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A report from the national registry of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Pediatrics* 2006; 118:995–1001
- Greenland S: Model-based estimation of relative risks and other epidemiologic measures in studies of common outcomes and in case-control studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004; 160:301–305
- Brady WJ, Gurka KK, Mehling B, et al: American Heart Association's Get with the Guidelines (formerly, NRCPR) Investigators: In-hospital cardiac arrest: Impact of monitoring and witnessed event on patient survival and neurologic status at hospital discharge. *Resuscitation* 2011; 82:845–852
- Neumar RW, Otto CW, Link MS, et al: Part 8: Adult advanced cardiovascular life support: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation* 2010; 122(18 Suppl 3):S729–S767